



An Eastern District of Texas Decision Finds Judicial Economy Less Significant in its Venue Transfer Analysis

Jul 30, 2014

Reading Time : **1 min**

By: Daniel L. Moffett

Moreover, the court minimized the significance of the judicial economy factor in arriving at its decision. Although the court found that judicial economy “weighed against transfer,” it did not think this factor was significant enough to merit keeping the case in EDTX. After finding the other two private interest factors, (1) availability of compulsory process, and (2) cost of attendance, favored neither party, the court stated that “it appears that no meaningful factors favor retaining [the movants] in the Eastern District of Texas.” *AVS v. Kia Motors Corp*, at pp. 4-5, citing, *In re Morgan Stanley*, 417 Fed. Appx. 947, 949 (Fed. Cir. 2011) (“the proper administration of justice may be to transfer to the far more convenient venue” despite the considerations of judicial efficiency).

American Vehicular Sciences LLC v. Kia Motors Corporation et al. (6:13-cv-00148) (EDTX July 29, 2014, Order) (Love, J)

Categories

District Court

© 2025 Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP. All rights reserved. Attorney advertising. This document is distributed for informational use only; it does not constitute legal advice and should not be used as such. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Akin is the practicing name of Akin Gump LLP, a New York limited liability partnership authorized and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority under number 267321. A list of the partners is available for inspection at Eighth Floor, Ten Bishops Square, London E1 6EG. For more information about Akin Gump LLP, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP and other associated entities under which the Akin Gump network operates worldwide, please see our Legal Notices page.