



Substitute Claims in Inter Partes Review Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Jan 20, 2015

Reading Time : **1 min**

By: Andy Rosbrook

Riverbed Tech, Inc. v. Silver Peak Sys., Inc., IPR201300402 (PTAB Dec. 30, 2014) [Pothier, Arbes (opinion), Jung].

Categories

Patent Trial & Appeal Board

Inter Partes Review

35 U.S.C. § 101

© 2025 Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP. All rights reserved. Attorney advertising. This document is distributed for informational use only; it does not constitute legal advice and should not be used as such. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Akin is the practicing name of Akin Gump LLP, a New York limited liability partnership authorized and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority under number 267321. A list of the partners is available for inspection at Eighth Floor, Ten Bishops Square, London E1 6EG. For more information about Akin Gump LLP, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP and other associated entities under which the Akin Gump network operates worldwide, please see our Legal Notices page.