



## A Second Oral Hearing Deemed Unnecessary

Jul 30, 2015

Reading Time : **1 min**

By: Rubén H. Muñoz

A week later, the petitioner requested permission to file a motion for a new oral argument by pointing to the patent owner's improper conduct at the first hearing. The board, however, noted that the patent owner was effectively thwarted from presenting new arguments at the hearing because its counsel was "instructed ... to not 'take a new approach'" when it restarted its oral argument. Because the issue was effectively decided at the first hearing, the board refused to allow the petitioner to file a motion for a second oral argument.

*Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., v. Rembrandt Wireless Tech., LP*, IPR201400892, Paper 44 (July 29, 2015).

## Categories

Patent Trial & Appeal Board

© 2025 Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP. All rights reserved. Attorney advertising. This document is distributed for informational use only; it does not constitute legal advice and should not be used as such. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Akin is the practicing name of Akin Gump LLP, a New York limited liability partnership authorized and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority under

number 267321. A list of the partners is available for inspection at Eighth Floor, Ten Bishops Square, London E1 6EG. For more information about Akin Gump LLP, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP and other associated entities under which the Akin Gump network operates worldwide, please see our Legal Notices page.