

Post-Complaint Events or Transactions Cannot Cure Prudential Standing Defects

Jan 26, 2016

Reading Time: 1 min

By: Rachel J. Elsby

Alps South countered that it had prudential standing because the license agreement was amended after the complaint was filed, which retroactively granted Alps South all substantial rights effective as of the date of the original license agreement. Alps South also argued that the complaint was amended to reflect this amended license agreement, which cured Alps South's prudential standing defect existed at the time the complaint was filed.

The Federal Circuit rejected Alps South's arguments, holding that a jurisdictional defect that existed at the time the complaint was filed cannot be cured by postfiling activities or retroactive license agreements. It also held that a supplemental complaint does not become the operative complaint for determining jurisdictional and standing issues.

Alps South then filed a petition for a writ of certiorari challenging the Federal Circuit's holdings, but the Supreme Court denied it without explanation, leaving the Federal Circuit's holdings intact.

Alps South, LLC v. The Ohio Willow Wood Company, No. 15567 (U.S. Supreme Court, Jan. 19, 2016).

Categories

Akin

SCOTUS

© 2025 Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP. All rights reserved. Attorney advertising. This document is distributed for informational use only; it does not constitute legal advice and should not be used as such. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Akin is the practicing name of Akin Gump LLP, a New York limited liability partnership authorized and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority under number 267321. A list of the partners is available for inspection at Eighth Floor, Ten Bishops Square, London El 6EG. For more information about Akin Gump LLP, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP and other associated entities under which the Akin Gump network operates worldwide, please see our Legal Notices page.

